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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Sample Country Club Membership Survey

## Overview

An online questionnaire was made available to Club members and spouses in April. A total of 1,012 surveys (out of 1,801 possible respondents) were completed for an overall response rate of $56 \%$ (in the previous survey of five years, the response rate was $56 \%$ with 948 replies out of 1,686). The response rate was higher among all members at $70 \%$ ( 673 out of 956) ( $74 \%$ in the previous survey; 664 out of 892 ) and lower among spouses $(38 \%, 319$ out of 845$)(29 \%$ in the previous survey; 232 out of 794). There were high response rates among Full members at $81 \%$ ( 547 out of 675 ) ( $79 \%$ in the previous survey), Junior members at $78 \%$ ( 72 out of 92 ) ( $80 \%$ ), and Senior members at $67 \%$ (20 out of 30 ) ( $87 \%$ ). There was a lower response rate among Clubhouse members at $15 \%$ (10 out of 65), Non-Resident members at $20 \%$ (10 out of 51), and Associates at $28 \%$ (12 out of 43). The percentage of respondents completing the survey online was $98 \%$ (994), while $2 \%$ completed a mailed survey (18).

## General

Approximately $98 \%$ of the respondents indicated they strongly or somewhat agreed the Club's mission statement was appropriate. Almost $96 \%$ of the respondents agreed they were pleased with the Club, while $85 \%$ of the respondents also agreed the Club was a good value for what they paid in dues. These two scores significantly surpassed the average scores achieved by comparable country clubs that the Ferreira Company has completed membership surveys for over the last decade.

Almost $69 \%$ of the respondents indicated the Club should seek to maintain current amenities/services consistent with having modest increases in dues, but limited or no assessment; while $27 \%$ indicated the Club should improve, add, and/or enlarge its amenities/services/infrastructure to meet or exceed the members' needs, recognizing that this will likely require a dues increase or an assessment.

## Overall Club Areas and Activities

Many respondents indicated golf, adult dining/socializing, fitness, family/children activities, hosting a private party/meeting/wedding, casual family dining/socializing, Club special events/social functions, and aquatics were very important or important Club areas and activities. A small number of respondents indicated tennis as important.

Most respondents were very satisfied overall with the different Club areas and activities. Some of these satisfaction scores surpassed the average scores achieved by other comparable country clubs that the Ferreira Company has completed membership surveys for over the last decade. Fewer respondents were satisfied with the casual family dining/socializing area.

## Satisfaction - Club Operations

Most respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the different Club operational areas, including the clubhouse, dining, golf, fitness, tennis, and aquatics. Many of these satisfaction scores surpassed the average scores achieved by the group of comparable country clubs.

## Satisfaction - Facilities

Most respondents were satisfied with the different facilities in the Club including the general clubhouse areas, dining, golf, fitness, tennis, aquatics, and locker rooms. Many of the facility scores surpassed the averages at the other comparable country clubs, while some were similar.

Some respondents were less satisfied with:

- Condition and maintenance of the golf bunkers
- Appearance and size of the women's locker room
- Driving range
- Size and appearance of the pool locker room
- Appearance and size of the golf pro shop


## Club Issues

Some respondents agreed they would prefer to receive the Club's newsletter as an attachment to an email and not mailed to save printing and postage costs; the Club should have more designated areas for members to use cell phones, iPods, PDAs, computer laptops, and other electronic devices; they were willing to pay more in dues to maintain the membership at its current number of members; and it is important that the Club try to be as environmentally conscious ("green") as possible, even if it increases operating costs and membership dues.

## Capital Projects

The capital project preferred most by members were:

- renovate and improve the golf course bunkers
- renovate the Dining Room and the Bar area to create room for an outside dining area
- renovate and expand the women's locker room.


## Financing Preferences for Capital Projects

The most preferred method of financing capital projects was a one-time assessment. Some respondents also preferred a combination of a moderate assessment, moderate monthly dues increase, and/or a small increase in the number of members. Respondents indicated they were willing to pay an average maximum one-time assessment of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,746$. Respondents also indicated an average monthly capital or regular dues increase of $\$ 50$ to $\$ 65$ that they were willing to pay.

## INTRODUCTION

The private club industry has seen a change in consumer attitude over the past ten to fifteen years, a change similar to that experienced by other industries. Consumers are more priceconscious and more educated and therefore base purchase decisions on their economic merit. Club membership decisions are no longer made solely because it's "a family tradition" or "the thing to do." Clubs must provide a specific value in terms of both economics and quality of life in order to gain and retain members. A club must periodically re-evaluate what its perceived specific value is in order to determine what adjustments may be needed in terms of services and benefits provided. This research focused on what those adjustments should be for the Sample Country Club and on members' preferences for changes in the Club.

## BACKGROUND

Sample Country Club would like to make some changes in its current operations, services, and facilities based on what the majority of the members are willing to support. Moreover, it is concerned about its current members' levels of satisfaction and what changes they prefer.

A survey was developed for use as a market research tool. It forms the main building block for devising and implementing a plan of action. Its results also serve as a tool to use in making key decisions on the future direction of Sample Country Club.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The chosen method for data collection was a survey instrument. This seven page survey was made available to members of Sample Country Club to complete online in April. Surveys and return envelopes were sent to members who requested a printed copy.

A sample of the survey instrument can be found in Appendix A. The survey was comprised mainly of closed-ended questions with measured levels of response. There was, in addition to the closed-ended questions, two open-ended questions. The responses to these questions are listed in Appendices B and C.

## RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the research were as follows:

1. Establish a demographic profile of respondents to the survey.
2. Examine the importance of different Club areas and activities.
3. Explore member satisfaction with the Club areas, operations, and facilities.
4. Determine member agreement with different Club issues.
5. Examine the preferences of members for select capital projects.
6. Explore the preferences of members for financing select capital projects.
7. Investigate how the Full membership category, gender, length of membership, number of dependent children, vacation or second home ownership, age, frequently used Club areas, and average monthly statement affect how respondents answered the questions.
8. Offer a line of communication between the members and the Club's Board and management.

## DATA ANALYSIS

Most of the survey questions required a Likert Scale response, with possible answers on a fourpoint scale. The scale was used for questions that required the respondents to rate their agreement with statements about the Club, the importance of various activities/areas, satisfaction with specific aspects of the Club, and preferences. Member demographic and other questions ranged from two-point to twelve-point scales and fill-in answers. Wherever possible, the number of acceptable responses was limited in order for the data to be meaningful.

Three statistical software packages were used to analyze the data: Survey Pro, Microtab, and SPSS/PC+. Frequency tables were developed for all of the questions. Questions not answered by a respondent were coded as a missing value. Missing values were taken into account when working with frequency tables and calculating percentages.

Crosstabulations were used for further analysis. Specific demographic factors were crosstabulated against each response to determine what effect those demographic factors may have had, if any, on the responses to the questions. For example, a member's age may have an affect on the perceived importance of an activity at the Club or on the satisfaction level of a specific activity, service, or facilities. Chi-square, T-tests, and one-way ANOVAS showed the effect of this and other demographic characteristics on the responses.

Questions that had a significant difference in answers, based on a demographic characteristic, are reported at the end of each section in the following survey report. To see the differences in answers for each question by all of the demographic variables, refer to the separate Appendix D.

## LIMITATIONS

The results of this study can be applied only to Sample Country Club. Results will vary from club to club and from one time period to another at Sample Country Club. The results are opinions of members only during the months of April and May of this year.

## RESULTS

An online questionnaire was made available to Club members and spouses in April. A total of 1,012 surveys (out of 1,801 possible respondents) were completed for an overall response rate of $56 \%$ (in the previous survey of five years, the response rate was $56 \%$ with 948 replies out of 1,686 ). The response rate was higher among all members at $70 \%$ ( 673 out of 956) ( $74 \%$ in the previous survey; 664 out of 892) and lower among spouses ( $38 \%, 319$ out of 845 ) ( $29 \%$ in the previous survey; 232 out of 794). There were high response rates among Full members at $81 \%$ (547 out of 675) ( $79 \%$ in the previous survey), Junior members at $78 \%$ ( 72 out of 92 ) ( $80 \%$ ), and Senior members at $67 \%$ ( 20 out of 30 ) ( $87 \%$ ). There was a lower response rate among Clubhouse members at $15 \%$ (10 out of 65), Non-Resident members at 20\% (10 out of 51), and Associates at $28 \%$ ( 12 out of 43 ).

The results of this study had a sampling variance error of $2.23 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level. All research samples have a variance, or error, when responses are not received from the entire population, or, in this case, the 1,801 Sample Country Club members and spouses. Typically, a response from a sample will vary from the population within a range of a few percentage points. Generally speaking, a sample size of 204 produces a statistical number that is within $7 \%$ of the population's (the entire membership's) true answer, 95 times out of 100 ( $95 \%$ confidence level with a $5 \%$ chance of error). As the sample size increases, this range decreases:

| Sample Size | Sampling Variance |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | $50 \%$ |
| 75 | $25 \%$ |
| 100 | $10 \%$ |
| 123 | $9 \%$ |
| 156 | $8 \%$ |
| 204 | $7 \%$ |
| 277 | $6 \%$ |
| 396 | $5 \%$ |
| 800 | $4 \%$ |
| 1,012 | $3 \%$ |
| 1,075 | $2.23 \%$ |
| 1.400 | $2 \%$ |

The sampling variance error of $2.23 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level for this study means that if a question had a sample mean response of 3.50 out of a scaled question of 1 to 4 , we would expect the population mean (the average response for the entire membership) to be between 3.41 and $3.59(4 \times .223=.089,3.50 \pm .09) 95$ out of 100 times. If we had received 1,400 responses, an overall $78 \%$ response rate, the expected range of a response having a mean of 3.50 would be between 3.46 and $3.54(4 \times .01=.04)$ for the entire membership with a $5 \%$ chance of error. This would have a slightly narrower range of scores, but the same interpretations of the results would be made. Another interpretation of the results is if a question had $75 \%$ of the respondents agreeing to an item, we would expect the agreement level of the entire population (membership) to be between $72.77 \%$ and $77.23 \%(75 \% \pm 2.23 \%)$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level.

## DEMOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF ACTUAL MEMBERS TO SURVEY RESPONDENTS

As indicated in the table below, the age and length of membership for the respondents were similar to the demographics of the general membership.

| $\underline{\text { AGE }}$ | Actual Percent | Survey Respondents \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 40 | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 50 to 59 | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| 60 to 69 | $20 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 70 and Over | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| LENGTH | Actual Percent | Survey Respondents \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four years or less | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 5 to 10 years | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| 11 to 19 years | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 20 to 29 years | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 30 or more years | $14 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## INTERPRETATION OF GRAPHS AND INFORMATION

In the following pages many of the questions are displayed in graphs that depict the average (mean or median) response for that specific question. The graph often has a number of questions grouped together so that you may see the relationship or strength of that question to its group. The specific percentage of respondents that answered that they agreed, were satisfied, or preferred an item is displayed in the table below the graphs.

To interpret a graph of questions using a four point scale (agreement, satisfaction, and preference questions), a mean score of 2.5 indicates that approximately half of the membership agrees, is satisfied, or prefers that item or question. As the mean score increases, a larger number of members agreed, were satisfied, or preferred that item or question. The approximate percentage for a mean score of 2.75 is $66 \%$ of the respondents and for a mean score of 3.00 it is $75 \%$ of the respondents.

Many of the questions in this year's survey were similar to questions in the survey administered five years ago. In this report, if there was a significant difference between the results in this year's survey with the answers of those same questions five years ago, it was indicated within parenthesis and italicized at the end of the paragraph describing the results for each group of questions.

Some of the questions developed for the Club are similar to questions asked of members at other private clubs. The Ferreira Company has completed surveys for hundreds of private clubs across North America. Where appropriate, the average mean, median, or percentage statistic for a group of comparable clubs is reported to allow the Club to compare its statistic to the average for those comparable clubs. This comparison is italicized and in brackets next to or below the Club's reported statistic in this report and is not meant to show statistical differences, but to indicate potential strengths or weaknesses within the Club.

## CONTROL GROUP <br> (Validity and Reliability)

A stratified random sample control group of 270 members was selected (based on their demographics: age, length of membership, and membership category) that was representative of the 1,801 members. This group was called by telephone, emailed, and/or mailed additional reminders to complete the survey. Responses were received from 246 control group members ( $91 \%$ response rate). The results of the control group were similar (statistically significant) to the actual demographics of the overall membership and to the demographics and answers of the respondents to the Club's survey. Therefore, the results of the 1,012 respondents are considered to be both valid and reliable for the Club.

## Classification of Survey Respondents

Membership Category

(Question 92)


Almost $83 \%$ of the respondents indicated their membership category was Full while $12 \%$ were Junior ( $86 \%$ and $8 \%$ in the previous survey of five years ago).

Almost $68 \%$ of the respondents indicated being the member (74\% in the previous survey of five years ago).
[Members typically represent $65 \%$ of the respondents at comparable country clubs that the Ferreira Company has completed membership surveys for over the last decade.]

## Person Completing the Survey

(Question 93)

Person completing the survey


| Person completing the <br> survey <br> Member | $67.8 \%$ | 673 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Spouse | $32.2 \%$ | 319 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 992 |
| Replies | 992 |  |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

## Gender

(Question 94)

Gender


| Gender <br> Male | $65.4 \%$ | 649 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | $34.6 \%$ | 343 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 992 |
| Replies |  | 992 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

Approximately $65 \%$ of the respondents indicated being male ( $71 \%$ in the previous survey).
The average age of respondents was 50-59 years old (same in the previous survey). Approximately $37 \%$ of the respondents indicated being under 50 years old, while $36 \%$ indicated being 60 years of age or older.
[Males typically represent $60 \%$ of the respondents at comparable country clubs that the Ferreira Company has completed membership surveys for over the last decade. The average age range is similar to the average range (50-59) at those comparable country clubs.]

Age
(Question 95)


| Age <br> Under 40 | $12.3 \%$ | 122 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 40 to 49 | $24.8 \%$ | 246 |
| 50 to 59 | $26.7 \%$ | 265 |
| 60 to 69 | $21.1 \%$ | 209 |
| 70 and over | $15.1 \%$ | 150 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 992 |
| Replies |  | 992 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |
| Median | 2.98 |  |

## Length of Membership

(Question 96)


The average length of membership was 11-19 years (5-10 years in the previous survey). Approximately $46 \%$ of the respondents indicated being members for 10 years or less, while $29 \%$ indicated being members for 20 years or more.
[The average membership length at comparable country clubs is 11-19 years.]

| Length of membership <br> Four years or less | $24.1 \%$ | 236 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $5-10$ years | $22.0 \%$ | 216 |
| $11-19$ years | $25.3 \%$ | 248 |
| $20-29$ years | $17.7 \%$ | 173 |
| 30 or more years | $10.9 \%$ | 107 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 980 |
| Replies |  | 980 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |
| Median | 2.65 |  |

## Frequently Used Club Areas in Season

(Question 97)


* Note: Multiple answers can total over $100 \%$.

The three most frequently indicated Club areas that respondents used were the golf course ( $76 \%$ ), dining areas ( $67 \%$ ), and fitness ( $47 \%$ ) (similar in the previous survey).

| Frequently used areas (4/month in <br> season) <br> Golf | $75.8 \%$ | 698 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dining Areas | $66.6 \%$ | 613 |
| Fitness | $47.1 \%$ | 434 |
| Aquatics | $26.0 \%$ | 239 |
| Tennis | $15.0 \%$ | 138 |
| Totals | $*$ | $*$ |
| Replies |  | 921 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

* Note: Multiple answers can total over $100 \%$.


## Number of Children Under the Age of 26 Living at Home

(Question 98)


Fifty-one percent (51\%) of the respondents indicated having one or more children under the age of 26 living at home ( $44 \%$ in the previous survey).

The age(s) of these children reported by respondents were: 11-15 years old (42\%), 6-10 years old (34\%), 16-20 years old (34\%), under 6 years old ( $23 \%$ ), and 21-25 years old ( $18 \%$ ) (similar in the previous survey).
[The average percentage of respondents having a dependent child living at home at comparable country clubs is 40\%.]

## Age(s) of Children

(Question 99)


| Age(s) of children <br> Under 6 years | $23.2 \%$ | 120 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 6-10 years | $33.7 \%$ | 174 |
| $11-15$ years | $41.8 \%$ | 216 |
| $16-20$ years | $33.7 \%$ | 174 |
| $21-25$ years | $18.4 \%$ | 95 |
| Totals | $*$ | $*$ |
| Replies |  | 517 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

* Note: Multiple answers can total over $100 \%$.

[^0]
## Typical Monthly Statement (including dues)

(Question 100)

Typical monthly bill at the Club (including dues)


The median typical monthly bill at the Club was $\$ 1,001-\$ 1,500$. Almost $34 \%$ of the respondents spend $\$ 1,000$ or less on average at the Club per month while $19 \%$ typically spend over $\$ 1,500$ per month at the Club.

Almost $44 \%$ of the respondents indicated they own a vacation or second home.
Vacation or Second Home Ownership
(Question 101)

| I have a vacation or <br> second home <br> Yes | $43.8 \%$ | 425 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No | $56.2 \%$ | 546 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 971 |
| Replies | 971 |  |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

## General Issues

## General Questions

(Questions 1-3)


Approximately $98 \%$ of the respondents indicated they strongly or somewhat agreed the Club's mission statement was appropriate (mean=3.71, maximum possible score was 4.00).

Almost $96 \%$ of the respondents agreed they were pleased with the Club (mean=3.57), while $85 \%$ of the respondents agreed the Club was a good value for what they paid in dues and fees (mean=3.20) (3.41 and 3.01 in the previous survey).
[These two scores significantly surpassed the average scores achieved by comparable country clubs that the Ferreira Company has completed membership surveys for over the last decade (3.25 and 3.00).]

|  | General Issues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The Club's mission statement is appropriate | Overall, I am pleased with the Club | The Club is a good value for what I pay |
| Frequencies: Strongly Agree | 72.9\% 723 | 63.1\% 637 | 36.0\% 359 |
| Somewhat Agree | 25.3\% 251 | 32.8\% 331 | 49.2\% 491 |
| Somewhat Disagree | 1.8\% 18 | 2.6\% 26 | 13.6\% 136 |
| Strongly Disagree | 0.0\% 0 | 1.6\% 16 | 1.2\% 12 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 992 | 100.0\% 1010 | 100.0\% 998 |
| Replies | 992 | 1010 | 998 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.71 | 3.57 | 3.20 |
| Std Dev | 0.49 | 0.63 | 0.71 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ |

[^1]
## Amenities and Services

(Question 4)


Almost $27 \%$ indicated the Club should improve, add, and/or enlarge its amenities/services/infrastructure to meet or exceed the members' needs, recognizing that this will likely require a dues increase or an assessment; while $69 \%$ of the respondents indicated the Club should seek to maintain current amenities/services consistent with having modest increases in dues, but limited or no assessment; and $4 \%$ indicated the Club should seek the lowest possible dues structure and no assessments, even if it results in reductions in services and fewer amenities.
[The percentages for this question were different to the average percentages at comparable country clubs that the Ferreira Company has completed membership surveys for over the last decade $(40 \%, 55 \%$, and $5 \%)$.]

| The Club should ... (Amenities/Services) <br> Seek to $\underline{\text { improve, add, and/or enlarge its }}$amenities/services/infrastructure to meet or exceed <br> the members needs, recognizing that this will likely <br> require a dues increase or an assessment | $26.8 \%$ | 267 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Seek to maintain current amenities/services <br> consistent with having modest increases in dues, but <br> limited or no assessments | $69.0 \%$ | 689 |
| Seek the lowest possible dues structure and no <br> assessments, even if it results in reductions in <br> services and fewer amenities | $4.2 \%$ | 42 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 998 |
| Replies |  | 998 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

## CROSSTABULATION:

Frequent tennis users (35\%) indicated slightly more often that the Club should seek to improve, add, and/or enlarge its amenities/services/infrastructure to meet or exceed the members needs, recognizing that this will likely require a dues increase or an assessment compared to those who's monthly statements are typically $\$ 1,000$ or less (18\%). Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Question 4.

## Importance of Club Areas and Activities

(Questions 5-13)


Most respondents indicated golf (mean=3.77, 96\%) and adult dining/socializing (3.30, 90\%) as very important or important areas and activities. Some respondents also indicated the following as important areas and activities: fitness (3.01, 78\%), family/children activities (3.00, 73\%), hosting a private party/meeting/wedding ( $2.94,76 \%$ ), casual family dining/socializing ( 2.82 , $71 \%$ ), Club special events/social functions ( $2.76,66 \%$ ), and aquatics $(2.74,64 \%)$. A smaller number of respondents indicated tennis $(2.42,43 \%)$ was important. (Most scores were similar to those in the previous survey, except hosting a private party/meeting/wedding (2.63) was lower, while fitness and casual family dining/socializing were not asked in the previous survey.)
[The importance levels of these areas were similar to the levels at other comparable country clubs, except hosting a private party/meeting/wedding was more important at Lakeside than at the other comparable clubs (2.65).]

## CROSSTABULATION:

Members who indicated they frequently use that specific club area or activity indicated that specific area was more important to them than the general membership. Members with children, members under 50, members for five years or less, frequent tennis users, and frequent aquatic users indicated more often that the following areas were important to them compared to members with no children and those 60 years of age and older: fitness (means=3.20, 3.21, 3.54, and 3.35 vs. 2.80 and 2.74 ), tennis ( $2.84,2.91,3.84$, and 2.86 vs. 1.93 and 1.89 ), aquatics (3.04, $3.19,3.34$, and 3.49 vs . 2.39 , and 2.48), casual family dining/socializing (3.11, 3.16, 3.37, and 3.33 vs. 2.50 and 2.51 ), and family children activities ( $3.22,3.42,3.51$, and 3.64 vs. 2.75 and 2.83). Members for more than 10 years (3.11) and those between the ages of 50 and 59 (3.14) indicated that hosting a private party/meeting/wedding was more important compared to members for 10 years or less (2.75) and those under 50 (2.66). Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Questions 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, \& 13.

|  | Importance of Club Areas \& Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Golf | Fitness | Tennis | Aquatics | Adult dining socializing | Casual family dining socializing |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Important | 82.3\% 815 | 31.0\% 303 | 20.6\% 185 | 23.0\% 215 | 40.6\% 407 | 20.4\% 199 |
| Important | 13.2\% 131 | 46.5\% 455 | 22.0\% 197 | 41.3\% 387 | 49.6\% 497 | 50.9\% 497 |
| Unimportant | 4.0\% 40 | 15.3\% 150 | 35.9\% 322 | 22.6\% $\quad 212$ | 8.6\% 86 | 19.3\% 188 |
| Very Unimportant | 0.4\% 4 | 7.2\% 70 | 21.4\% 192 | 13.0\% 122 | 1.2\% 12 | 9.4\% 92 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 990 | 100.0\% 978 | 100.0\% 896 | 100.0\% 936 | 100.0\% 1002 | 100.0\% 976 |
| Replies | 990 | 978 | 896 | 936 | 1002 | 976 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.77 | 3.01 | 2.42 | 2.74 | 3.30 | 2.82 |
| Std Dev | 0.53 | 0.87 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 0.67 | 0.86 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.06$ |


|  | Importance of Club Areas \& Activities |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Club special <br> events social <br> functions | Hosting a <br> private party <br> meeting <br> wedding | Family <br> children <br> activities |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Important | $15.2 \%$ | 149 | $25.7 \%$ | 253 | $34.2 \%$ |
| Important | $51.0 \%$ | 501 | $50.1 \%$ | 493 | $38.8 \%$ |
| Unimportant | $28.7 \%$ | 282 | $17.1 \%$ | 168 | $19.9 \%$ |
| Very Unimportant | $5.1 \%$ | 50 | $7.1 \%$ | 70 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 982 | $100.0 \%$ | 984 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Replies |  | 982 |  | 984 |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 |  | 956 |  |
| Mean | 2.76 | 2.94 |  | 3012 |  |
| Std Dev | 0.77 | 0.84 |  | 0.91 |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ |  |  |

[^2]
## Overall Satisfaction with Club Areas and Activities

(Questions 14-22)


Most respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with golf (mean $=3.55$, $97 \%$ ), fitness (3.50, 95\%), hosting a private party/meeting/wedding (3.43, 98\%), aquatics (3.41, 96\%), family/children activities ( $3.25,96 \%$ ), tennis ( $3.24,91 \%$ ), Club special events/social functions (3.17, $95 \%$ ), and clubhouse dining/socializing (3.12, $91 \%$ ). Fewer respondents were satisfied with casual family dining/socializing ( $2.84,76 \%$ ).
[Some of these satisfaction levels surpassed the levels at the other comparable country clubs (2.90-3.25), while some were similar and one was lower.]

|  | Satisfaction with Club Areas \& Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Golf | Fitness | Tennis | Aquatics | Adult dining socializing | Casual family dining socializing |
| Frequencies: Very Satisfied | 59.5\% 559 | 55.9\% 443 | 38.7\% 184 | 45.0\% 307 | 24.0\% 232 | 15.2\% 127 |
| Satisfied | $37.3 \% \quad 351$ | 39.3\% 311 | 52.5\% 250 | 51.2\% 349 | 66.7\% 644 | 61.2\% 513 |
| Dissatisfied | 1.9\% 18 | 3.8\% 30 | 2.9\% 14 | 3.5\% 24 | 6.8\% 66 | 16.2\% 136 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 1.3\% 12 | 1.0\% 8 | 5.9\% 28 | 0.3\% 2 | 2.5\% 24 | 7.4\% 62 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 940 | 100.0\% 792 | 100.0\% 476 | 100.0\% 682 | 100.0\% 966 | 100.0\% 838 |
| Replies | 940 | 792 | 476 | 682 | 966 | 838 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.55 | 3.50 | 3.24 | 3.41 | 3.12 | 2.84 |
| Std Dev | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.77 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ |


|  | Satisfaction with Club Areas \& Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Club special <br> events social <br> functions | Hosting a <br> private party <br> meeting <br> wedding | Family <br> children <br> activities |  |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $25.2 \%$ | 206 | $45.3 \%$ | 339 | $31.6 \%$ | 226 |
| Satisfied | $69.9 \%$ | 570 | $52.3 \%$ | 391 | $64.0 \%$ | 458 |
| Dissatisfied | $1.5 \%$ | 12 | $2.1 \%$ | 16 | $2.0 \%$ | 14 |
| Very Dissatisfied | $3.4 \%$ | 28 | $0.3 \%$ | 2 | $2.5 \%$ | 18 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 816 | $100.0 \%$ | 748 | $100.0 \%$ | 716 |
| Replies | 816 |  | 748 |  | 716 |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 |  | 1012 |  |  |
| Mean | 3.17 | 3.43 |  | 3.25 |  |  |
| Std Dev | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.61 |  |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ |  |  |  |
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## General Club Operations

(Questions 23-27)


Many respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the following: overall staff courtesy/friendliness (mean $=3.74,98 \%$ ), overall sense of safety and security ( $3.69,97 \%$ ), communication from the Club (3.29, 95\%), initiation fee (3.17, 93\%), and dues and fees (2.93, $81 \%$ ). (Most scores were similar in the previous survey, except overall sense of safety and security was not asked.)
[Some of these satisfaction scores were higher than the average scores achieved by other clubs (2.90 to 3.30), while some were comparable.]

|  | General Club Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Overall sense <br> of safety and <br> security | Overall staff <br> courtesy <br> friendliness | Initiation fee | Dues and fees | Communication <br> from the Club |  |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $71.9 \%$ | 715 | $78.6 \%$ | 778 | $24.7 \%$ | 221 | $15.5 \%$ |  |

[^4]
## Golf Operations

(Questions 28-34)


Many respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the golf staff (mean=3.62, 96\%); golf lessons and clinics ( $3.50,97 \%$ ); golf events, tournaments \& programs ( $3.45,97 \%$ ); ability to play golf on the day and time of their preference (3.35, 94\%); Junior golf program (3.14, 86\%); speed or pace of play on the golf course ( $3.10,88 \%$ ); and merchandise in the golf pro shop $(2.95,80 \%)$. (All of these golf scores were higher than those in the previous survey.)
[Some of these satisfaction scores were higher than the average scores achieved by other clubs (2.95 to 3.30), while some were comparable.]

## CROSSTABULATION:

Members under 50 years of age (mean=2.92) and those whose monthly statements were typically $\$ 1,000$ or less (2.81) were less satisfied compared with those 50 years of age and older (3.43), frequent tennis users (3.50), and members whose monthly statements were typically more than $\$ 1,000$ (3.33) with the Junior golf program. Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Question 31.

|  | Golf Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Golf staff |  | Golf lessons and <br> clinics |  |  |  |  |  |  | Golf events, <br>  <br> programs | Junior golf <br> program | Ability to play golf <br> on the day and <br> time you want |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $68.1 \%$ | 602 | $53.7 \%$ | 366 | $49.0 \%$ | 373 | $35.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satisfied | $27.8 \%$ | 246 | $43.1 \%$ | 294 | $48.4 \%$ | 369 | $51.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Golf Operations |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Speed or pace of <br> play on the golf <br> course | Merchandise in the <br> golf pro shop |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $24.9 \%$ | 213 | $19.7 \%$ | 170 |
| Satisfied | $62.7 \%$ | 537 | $60.4 \%$ | 520 |
| Dissatisfied | $9.8 \%$ | 84 | $15.0 \%$ | 129 |
| Very Dissatisfied | $2.6 \%$ | 22 | $4.9 \%$ | 42 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 856 | $100.0 \%$ | 861 |
| Replies | 856 |  | 861 |  |
| Forms | 1012 |  | 1012 |  |
| Mean | 3.10 | 2.95 |  |  |
| Std Dev | 0.66 | 0.74 |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ |  |  |

* Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

Fitness, Tennis, and Aquatics Operations
(Questions 35-46)


Most respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with their ability to use fitness equipment on the day and time of their preference (mean=3.53, $97 \%$ ); tennis staff $(3.48,90 \%)$; their ability to play on a tennis court on the day and time of their preference ( $3.38,91 \%$ ); aquatic lifeguards and staff (3.35, $96 \%$ ); the swim team (3.33, $91 \%$ ); fitness staff ( $3.30,92 \%$ ); aquatic lessons, programs and events $(3.28,92 \%)$; group exercise class instructors and personal trainers (3.27, $89 \%$ ); tennis lessons, programs, and events ( $3.27,88 \%$ ); the number of individuals using the aquatic facilities ( $3.20,95 \%$ ); the Junior tennis program ( $3.19,82 \%$ ); and fitness classes and programs (3.03, 84\%). (Most of the previous survey tennis scores were slightly higher then the current ones, except the junior tennis program (2.98) in the previous survey was lower.)
[Many of these satisfaction scores were higher than the average scores achieved by other comparable clubs (2.95 to 3.25), while some were similar.]

## CROSSTABULATION:

Members whose monthly statements were typically $\$ 1,000$ or less indicated they were less satisfied compared with those 50 years of age and older and those whose monthly statements were typically more than $\$ 1,000$ with the following operational areas: group exercise class instructors and personal trainers (means $=2.87$ vs. 3.40 and 3.44), fitness classes and programs ( 2.56 vs. 3.19 and 3.23 ), Junior tennis program ( 2.64 vs. 3.38 and 3.42 ), and their ability to play on a tennis court on the day and time of their preference ( 3.02 vs. 3.68 and 3.53 ). Frequent tennis users were more satisfied than the general membership with the Junior tennis program ( 3.62 vs. 3.19) and their ability to play on a tennis court on the day and time of their preference ( 3.57 vs. 3.38). Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Questions 36, 37, 41, and 42.

|  | Fitness, Tennis, \& Aquatics Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Fitness staff | Group exercise <br>  <br> personal trainers | Fitness classes and <br> programs | Ability to use <br> fitness equip on day <br> \& time you want |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $40.2 \%$ | 257 | $39.1 \%$ | 122 | $26.3 \%$ | 80 |
| Satisfied | $51.7 \%$ | 331 | $49.4 \%$ | 154 | $57.9 \%$ | 176 |
| Dissatisfied | $6.2 \%$ | 40 | $10.9 \%$ | 34 | $8.6 \%$ | 26 |
| Very Dissatisfied | $1.9 \%$ | 12 | $0.6 \%$ | 2 | $7.2 \%$ | 22 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 640 | $100.0 \%$ | 312 | $100.0 \%$ | 304 |
| Replies | 640 | 312 | $3.3 \%$ | 246 |  |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 304 | $100.0 \%$ | 596 |  |
| Mean | 3.30 | 3.27 | 1012 | 596 |  |  |
| Std Dev | 0.67 | 0.67 | 3.03 | 1012 |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.08$ | 0.80 | 3.53 |  |  |


|  | Fitness, Tennis, \& Aquatics Operations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tennis staff | Tennis lessons, programs, \& events | Junior tennis program | Ability to play tennis on day \& time you want |
| Frequencies: Very Satisfied | 58.2\% $\quad 177$ | 44.0\% $\quad 118$ | 38.1\% 64 | 54.5\% 144 |
| Satisfied | $31.9 \% \quad 97$ | 44.0\% 118 | 44.0\% 74 | $36.4 \% \quad 96$ |
| Dissatisfied | 9.2\% 28 | 6.7\% 18 | 16.7\% 28 | 1.5\% 4 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 0.7\% 2 | 5.2\% 14 | 1.2\% 2 | 7.6\% 20 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 304 | 100.0\% 268 | 100.0\% 168 | 100.0\% 264 |
| Replies | 304 | 268 | 168 | 264 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.48 | 3.27 | 3.19 | 3.38 |
| Std Dev | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.85 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.08$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.10$ |


|  | Fitness, Tennis, \& Aquatics Operations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Aquatic lifeguards <br> and staff | Aquatics lessons, <br> programs, \& events | Swim Team | Number of <br> individuals using <br> the aquatic facilities |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $40.7 \%$ | 251 | $36.6 \%$ | 144 | $47.5 \%$ | 154 |
| Satisfied | $55.4 \%$ | 341 | $55.7 \%$ | 219 | $43.8 \%$ | 142 |
| Dissatisfied | $1.9 \%$ | 12 | $6.6 \%$ | 26 | $2.5 \%$ | 8 |
| Very Dissatisfied | $1.9 \%$ | 12 | $1.0 \%$ | 4 | $6.2 \%$ | 20 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 616 | $100.0 \%$ | 393 | $100.0 \%$ | 324 |
| Replies | 616 |  | 393 | $3.0 \%$ | 147 |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 324 | $100.0 \%$ | 528 |  |
| Mean | 3.35 | 3.28 | 1012 | 528 |  |  |
| Std Dev | 0.62 | 0.63 | 3.33 | 1012 |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ | 0.80 | 3.20 |  |  |
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## Satisfaction with Food Service

(Questions 47-51



Most respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the quality of service (mean=3.33, 91\%), food and beverage prices (3.13, 93\%), menu selection (3.12, 90\%), quality of the food (3.10, $87 \%$ ), and speed of service (3.10, 85\%). (Most of the previous survey satisfaction scores were slightly lower then current ones.)
[Most of these satisfaction scores surpassed the average scores achieved by the other comparable country clubs (2.95-3.10).]

|  | Satisfaction with Food Service |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quality of the food | Menu selection | Food and beverage prices | Quality of service | Speed of service |
| Frequencies: Very Satisfied | 27.4\% 275 | 22.3\% $\quad 223$ | 22.1\% $\quad 221$ | 42.6\% 428 | 29.4\% 293 |
| Satisfied | 59.3\% 595 | 67.6\% 675 | $70.8 \% \quad 707$ | 48.8\% 490 | 55.4\% 553 |
| Dissatisfied | 9.8\% 98 | 9.2\% 92 | 4.4\% 44 | $7.4 \% \quad 74$ | 11.2\% 112 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3.6\% 36 | 0.8\% 8 | 2.6\% 26 | 1.2\% 12 | 4.0\% 40 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 1004 | 100.0\% 998 | 100.0\% 998 | 100.0\% 1004 | 100.0\% 998 |
| Replies | 1004 | 998 | 998 | 1004 | 998 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.10 | 3.12 | 3.13 | 3.33 | 3.10 |
| Std Dev | 0.71 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 0.75 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ |
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## Reasons for Dining Away From the Club

(Question 52)


* Note: Multiple answers can total over $100 \%$.

The three most frequently reported reasons respondents gave for dining away from the Club were: prefer a variety of other restaurants ( $66 \%$ ), convenience of other restaurants (53\%), and change of "pace" (43\%).
[The percentages were similar to the levels at other comparable country clubs except the Club's percentages for inconsistency in the quality of food (23\%) and service (25\%) were lower than the average levels at the other clubs.]

| Reasons for dining away from the Club <br> Prefer variety of other restaurants | $66.1 \%$ | 656 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Convenience of other restaurants | $53.4 \%$ | 530 |
| Change of "pace" | $43.1 \%$ | 428 |
| Inconsistent quality of food | $19.7 \%$ | 195 |
| Inconsistent quality of service | $14.3 \%$ | 142 |
| Price of food and beverage | $12.5 \%$ | 124 |
| Dress code at the Club | $8.7 \%$ | 86 |
| Dining area is not family friendly | $5.4 \%$ | 54 |
| Appearance \& size of the dining area | $5.0 \%$ | 50 |
| Choices on the menu. | $2.0 \%$ | 20 |
| Dining with non-members. | $0.7 \%$ | 7 |
| I dine where my friends go. | $0.2 \%$ | 2 |
| Other | $4.4 \%$ | 44 |
| Totals | $*$ | $*$ |
| Replies |  | 992 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |

* Note: Multiple answers can total over $100 \%$.


## Club Facilities

## General Club Facilities

(Questions 53-57)


Many respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the appearance and size of the men's locker room (mean $=3.66,97 \%$ ), the amount of available parking ( $3.41,96 \%$ ), the overall exterior appearance of the clubhouse ( $3.40,95 \%$ ), and the overall interior appearance of the clubhouse ( $3.34,93 \%$ ). Fewer respondents were satisfied with the appearance and size of the women's locker room ( $2.56,57 \%$ ). (Most of the previous survey facility scores were similar to the current ones, except the women's locker room score (2.93) was higher in the previous survey.)
[Most of these facility satisfaction scores were higher than the average scores at other comparable country clubs (3.00-3.25), except one was below the averages.]

|  | General Club Facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overall exterior appearance of the clubhouse | Amount of available parking | Overall interior appearance of the clubhouse | Appearance \& size of the men's LR | Appearance \& size of the women's LR |
| Frequencies: Very Satisfied | 44.9\% 451 | 46.0\% 462 | 41.8\% 415 | 70.9\% 556 | 20.0\% 72 |
| Satisfied | 50.5\% 507 | 49.8\% 500 | 50.7\% 503 | 26.0\% 204 | 36.7\% 132 |
| Dissatisfied | 4.6\% 46 | 3.0\% 30 | 7.5\% 74 | 1.5\% 12 | 22.2\% 80 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 0.0\% 0 | 1.2\% 12 | 0.0\% 0 | 1.5\% 12 | 21.1\% 76 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 1004 | 100.0\% 1004 | 100.0\% 992 | 100.0\% 784 | 100.0\% 360 |
| Replies | 1004 | 1004 | 992 | 784 | 360 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.40 | 3.41 | 3.34 | 3.66 | 2.56 |
| Std Dev | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 1.04 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.11$ |
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## Dining Areas Facilities

(Questions 58-61)


Most respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the appearance and size of the Ballroom (mean $=3.57,98 \%$ ); the appearance and size of the Casual Grill and Lounge (3.45, 97\%); the appearance and size of the meeting room(s) (3.44, 97\%); and the appearance and size of the Dining Room (3.30, 92\%). (Most of the previous survey facility scores were similar to the current ones.)
[Most of these facility satisfaction scores were higher than the average scores at other comparable country clubs (3.00-3.30).]

|  | Dining Areas Facilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Appearance and size of the Dining Room | Appearance and size of the Casual Grill and Lounge | Appearance and size of the Ballroom | Appearance and size of the meeting room(s) |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | 40.5\% 402 | 48.9\% 446 | 60.7\% 585 | 46.4\% 389 |
| Satisfied | 51.0\% 506 | 47.8\% 436 | 37.0\% 357 | 51.0\% 427 |
| Dissatisfied | 6.0\% 60 | 2.9\% 26 | 0.8\% 8 | 2.6\% 22 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 2.4\% 24 | 0.4\% 4 | 1.5\% 14 | 0.0\% 0 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 992 | 100.0\% 912 | 100.0\% 964 | 100.0\% 838 |
| Replies | 992 | 912 | 964 | 838 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.30 | 3.45 | 3.57 | 3.44 |
| Std Dev | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.55 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ |
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## Golf Facilities

(Questions 62-70)


Most respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the greens condition and maintenance (mean $=3.65,98 \%$ ), the overall course condition and maintenance $(3.56,97 \%)$, the tee area condition and maintenance ( $3.56,97 \%$ ), the fairway condition and maintenance ( $3.47,96 \%$ ), the rough condition and maintenance ( $3.39,94 \%$ ), and the short game practice area ( $3.11,87 \%$ ). Fewer respondents were satisfied with the appearance and size of the golf pro shop (3.00, 78\%), the driving range $(2.93,75 \%)$, and the bunker condition and maintenance $(2.24,37 \%)$. (Most of the previous survey golf facility scores were similar to current ones, except condition of the roughs (3.04) was lower, while condition of the bunkers (3.01) was higher in the previous survey.)
[Many of the golf facility scores were above the average scores achieved by other comparable country clubs (3.10-3.35), while some were similar to the averages and three were below the averages.

|  | Golf Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Driving range | Short game <br> practice area | Overall course <br> condition and <br> maintenance | Greens <br> condition and <br> maintenance | Tee area <br> condition and <br> maintenance |  |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $24.6 \%$ | 215 | $26.1 \%$ | 226 | $60.3 \%$ | 520 | $66.8 \%$ | 580 |
| Satisfied | $50.2 \%$ | 439 | $60.4 \%$ | 523 | $36.4 \%$ | 314 | $31.3 \%$ | 272 |


|  | Golf Facilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fairway condition and maintenance | Rough condition and maintenance | Bunker condition and maintenance | Golf pro shop and bag room |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | 51.4\% 445 | 47.2\% 407 | 11.1\% 96 | 27.8\% 243 |
| Satisfied | 44.2\% 383 | 46.3\% 399 | 25.8\% 222 | 49.8\% 435 |
| Dissatisfied | 4.4\% 38 | 4.9\% 42 | 39.0\% 336 | 16.9\% 148 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 0.0\% 0 | 1.6\% 14 | 24.1\% 208 | 5.5\% 48 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 866 | 100.0\% 862 | 100.0\% 862 | 100.0\% 874 |
| Replies | 866 | 862 | 862 | 874 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 3.47 | 3.39 | 2.24 | 3.00 |
| Std Dev | 0.58 | 0.66 | 0.94 | 0.82 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.06$ |

* Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.


## Fitness, Tennis, and Aquatics Facilities

(Questions 71-76)


Most of the respondents were very satisfied or satisfied with the size and appearance of the fitness center (mean $=3.73,98 \%$ ); the size and appearance of the pools, deck and area (mean $=3.72,97 \%$ ); the availability and number of tennis courts $(3.54,96 \%)$; the type and amount of fitness equipment ( $3.54,95 \%$ ); and the size and appearance of the fitness/tennis locker room ( $3.37,92 \%$ ). Fewer respondents were satisfied with the size and appearance of the pool locker room (3.00, 76\%). (The previous survey facility scores were slightly lower then current ones.)
[Most of the facility scores were above the average scores achieved by other comparable country clubs (3.00-3.35), except one was similar.

## CROSSTABULATION:

Members with a dependent child (mean=2.83), members under 50 years of age (2.72), frequent tennis users (2.61) and frequent aquatic users (2.73) were less satisfied compared to members with no dependent children (3.22) and those 50 years of age or older (3.32) with the size and appearance of the pool locker room. Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Question 76.

|  | Fitness, Tennis, \& Aquatics Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Size and appearance <br> of the fitness center | Type and amount of <br> fitness equipment | Size appearance of <br> fitness tennis LR | Availability/ number <br> of tennis courts |  |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $74.2 \%$ | 542 | $61.2 \%$ | 427 | $47.1 \%$ | 281 | $58.3 \%$ |
| Satisfied | $24.1 \%$ | 176 | $33.4 \%$ | 233 | $45.1 \%$ | 269 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | $1.6 \%$ | 12 | $3.4 \%$ | 24 | $5.4 \%$ | 32 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Very Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $2.0 \%$ | 14 | $2.3 \%$ | 14 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 730 | $100.0 \%$ | 698 | $100.0 \%$ | 596 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Replies | 730 | 698 | 596 | 384 |  |  |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 384 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 3.73 | 3.54 | 3.37 | 1012 |  |  |  |
| Std Dev | 0.48 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ | 0.58 |  |  |  |


|  | Fitness, Tennis, \& Aquatics Facilities |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Size/ appearance of <br> pools, deck \& area | Size/ appearance of <br> pool LR |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Very Satisfied | $74.8 \%$ | 609 | $29.7 \%$ | 208 |
| Satisfied | $22.0 \%$ | 179 | $45.9 \%$ | 321 |
| Dissatisfied | $3.2 \%$ | 26 | $19.3 \%$ | 135 |
| Very Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $5.1 \%$ | 36 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 814 | $100.0 \%$ | 700 |
| Replies | 814 | 700 |  |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 |  |  |
| Mean | 3.72 | 3.00 |  |  |
| Std Dev | 0.52 | 0.83 |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.06$ |  |  |
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## Club Issues

(Questions 77-80)

Club Issues


Some respondents agreed they would prefer to receive the Club's newsletter as an attachment to an e-mail and not mailed to save printing and postage costs ( $2.82,65 \%$ ); the Club should have more designated areas for members to use cell phones, iPods, PDAs, computer laptops, and other electronic devices ( $2.50,53 \%$ ); they were willing to pay more in dues to maintain the membership at its current number of members $(2.39,46 \%)$; and it is important that the Club try to be as environmentally conscious ("green") as possible, even if it increases operating costs and membership dues ( $2.25,41 \%$ ).

## CROSSTABULATION:

Members under 60 years of age agreed more often than those 60 years of age or older that they would prefer to receive the Club's newsletter as an attachment to an e-mail and not mailed to save printing and postage costs (3.03 vs. 2.57). Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Question 78.

|  | Club Issues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have a designated area for electronic device usage | Prefer to receive the Club's newsletter electronically | I am willing to pay to maintain \# of current members | Willing to pay to have the Club be green |
| Frequencies: Strongly Agree | 13.7\% 126 | 31.3\% 304 | 9.5\% 91 | 5.0\% 49 |
| Somewhat Agree | 39.1\% 359 | $34.1 \% 331$ | $36.1 \% \quad 347$ | $36.1 \% 351$ |
| Somewhat Disagree | 31.0\% 285 | 20.1\% 195 | 38.1\% 366 | 37.7\% 366 |
| Strongly Disagree | 16.1\% 148 | 14.6\% 142 | 16.2\% 156 | 21.2\% 206 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 918 | 100.0\% 972 | 100.0\% 960 | 100.0\% 972 |
| Replies | 918 | 972 | 960 | 972 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 | 1012 |
| Mean | 2.50 | 2.82 | 2.39 | 2.25 |
| Std Dev | 0.92 | 1.03 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.05$ |

[^10]
## Capital Project Preferences

(Questions 81-85)


Many respondents preferred the Club renovate and improve the golf course bunkers (mean $=3.02,71 \%$ ). Some respondents preferred to renovate the Dining Room and the Bar area to create room for an outside dining area $(2.36,47 \%)$ and renovate and expand the women's locker room (2.22, 42\%).

A small number of respondents preferred that the Club provide a new Golf Pro Shop and bag storage area $(2.10,35 \%)$ and provide an area for older children - recreation technology room, WiFi, Wii, etc. (1.95, 28\%).
(Two of these questions were asked in the previous survey with similar results: renovate and expand the women's locker room and provide a new Golf Pro Shop.)
[While the percentage of those supporting these capital projects appears low, prior surveys completed at clubs that required a vote of approval by the membership, indicated that with a proper promotional plan the projects were passed by the membership when approximately $40 \%$ or more of the respondents on the survey preferred that specific project.]

## CROSSTABULATION:

Females (mean=2.66) and those 60 years of age and older (2.59) indicated that they agreed more often compared with males (1.94), those under 50 years of age (1.99), and members whose monthly statements were typically $\$ 1,000$ or less (1.88) that the Club should renovate and expand the women's locker room. Those under 50 years of age (2.43), frequent tennis users (2.66), and frequent aquatic users (2.51) indicated that they agreed more often compared with members with no dependent children (1.63) and those 60 years of age and older (1.64) that the Club should provide an area for older children. Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Questions 83 and 85.

|  | Potential Capital Projects |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Provide a new Golf Pro <br> Shop and bag storage area | Renovate and improve the <br> golf course bunkers | Renovate and expand the <br> women's locker room |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Highly Preferred | $12.8 \%$ | 120 | $43.2 \%$ | 400 | $19.1 \%$ |
| Preferred | $21.7 \%$ | 204 | $27.6 \%$ | 256 | $22.7 \%$ |
| Less Preferred | $28.3 \%$ | 265 | $16.5 \%$ | 153 | 176 |
| Not Preferred | $37.2 \%$ | 349 | $12.6 \%$ | 117 | $39.2 \%$ |


|  | Potential Capital Projects |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Renovate DR \& Bar for an <br> outside dining area | Provide area for older <br> children (recr. room) |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Highly Preferred | $13.7 \%$ | 134 | $8.2 \%$ | 76 |
| Preferred | $33.1 \%$ | 324 | $19.4 \%$ | 180 |
| Less Preferred | $28.4 \%$ | 278 | $31.4 \%$ | 291 |
| Not Preferred | $24.7 \%$ | 242 | $40.9 \%$ | 379 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 978 | $100.0 \%$ | 926 |
| Replies | 978 | 926 |  |  |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 |  |  |
| Mean | 2.36 | 1.95 |  |  |
| Std Dev | 1.00 | 0.96 |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.06$ |  |  |

[^11]Capital Financing Preferences
Financing Programs
(Questions 86-89)

Financing Programs


Respondents most preferred a one-time assessment (mean=3.06, 79\%) to finance capital projects. Some respondents preferred a combination of a moderate assessment, moderate monthly dues increase, and/or small increase in the number of members (2.35, 45\%). A small number of respondents preferred increasing the number of Full members only ( $2.09,35 \%$ ) and borrowing the funds with the debt paid back through a capital dues or regular dues increase amortized over the life of the capital project ( $2.08,32 \%$ ). (Most of the previous survey financing preferences were similar to the current results.)
[The percentages of the financing methods were different to the averages at other comparable country clubs. More members at the other clubs preferred to borrow the funds with the debt paid back through a capital dues or regular dues increase. Fewer members at those other clubs preferred a one-time assessment.

|  | Financing Programs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | A one-time <br> assessment | Borrow the <br> funds, debt paid <br> over time | Increase the <br> number of Full <br> members | Combination of <br> three to keep <br> each small |  |  |
| Frequencies: <br> Highly Preferred | $38.6 \%$ | 349 | $5.9 \%$ | 52 | $11.5 \%$ | 106 |
| Preferred | $39.9 \%$ | 361 | $26.3 \%$ | 230 | $23.5 \%$ | 216 |
| Less Preferred | $10.2 \%$ | 92 | $37.6 \%$ | 329 | $27.6 \%$ | 254 |
| Not Preferred | $11.3 \%$ | 102 | $30.1 \%$ | 263 | $37.4 \%$ | 344 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 904 | $100.0 \%$ | 874 | $100.0 \%$ | 920 |
|  | 100.26 | 252 | 253 |  |  |  |
| Replies | 904 |  | 874 |  | 920 | 896 |
| Forms | 1012 | 1012 |  | 1012 | 896 |  |
| Mean | 3.06 | 2.08 |  | 2.09 | 1012 |  |
| Std Dev | 0.97 | 0.89 | 1.03 | 2.35 |  |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.06$ |  | $\pm 0.07$ | 1.05 |  |

## Maximum One-Time Assessment

(Question 90)

Approximately $53 \%$ of the members indicated an amount they would pay as a maximum onetime assessment. They were willing to pay an average of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,746$ if the membership was in agreement that a one-time assessment should be part of the financing package. (The average amounts indicated in the previous survey were $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 2,658$.)

Maximum one-time assessment willing to pay


| Maximum one-time <br> assessment willing to pay <br> $\$ 5,000$ | $32.5 \%$ | 175 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 3,000$ | $25.1 \%$ | 135 |
| $\$ 2,000$ | $11.7 \%$ | 63 |
| $\$ 2,500$ | $11.0 \%$ | 59 |
| $\$ 1,000$ | $6.5 \%$ | 35 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | $3.7 \%$ | 20 |
| $\$ 1,500$ | $3.2 \%$ | 17 |
| $\$ 4,000$ | $2.8 \%$ | 15 |
| $\$ 6,000$ | $2.0 \%$ | 11 |
| $\$ 8,000$ | $0.7 \%$ | 4 |
| $\$ 1,750$ | $0.4 \%$ | 2 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $0.4 \%$ | 2 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 538 |
| Replies |  | 538 |
| Forms | 1012 |  |
| Mean | 3746.28 |  |
| Median | 3000.00 |  |
| Std Dev | 2127.88 |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 183.48$ |  |

* Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.


## CROSSTABULATION:

Members with children (mean $=\$ 4,164$ ) and members who had a vacation or second home $(\$ 4,259)$ indicated a higher one-time assessment that they were willing to pay for capital projects compared to females $(\$ 3,309)$ and members with no children $(\$ 3,268)$. Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Question 90.

## Maximum Monthly Dues Increase

(Question 91)

Almost $44 \%$ of the members indicated an amount they were willing to pay as a maximum monthly capital or regular dues increase. They were willing to pay an average dues increase of $\$ 50$ to $\$ 65$ per month, if the membership was in agreement that borrowing the funds should be part of the financing package. (The average amounts in the previous survey were $\$ 50$ to $\$ 67$.)


| Maximum monthly dues <br> increase willing to pay <br> $\$ 50$ | $35.5 \%$ | 157 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 100$ | $19.7 \%$ | 87 |
| $\$ 25$ | $10.2 \%$ | 45 |
| $\$ 35$ | $8.1 \%$ | 36 |
| $\$ 10$ | $5.2 \%$ | 23 |
| $\$ 150$ | $3.8 \%$ | 17 |
| $\$ 30$ | $3.4 \%$ | 15 |
| $\$ 40$ | $3.2 \%$ | 14 |
| $\$ 200$ | $2.7 \%$ | 12 |
| $\$ 75$ | $2.3 \%$ | 10 |
| $\$ 20$ | $2.0 \%$ | 9 |
| $\$ 250$ | $1.8 \%$ | 8 |
| $\$ 70$ | $1.4 \%$ | 6 |
| $\$ 125$ | $0.7 \%$ | 3 |
| Totals | $100.0 \%$ | 442 |
| Replies | 442 |  |
| Forms | 1012 |  |
| Mean | 65.27 |  |
| Median | 50.00 |  |
| Std Dev | 47.34 |  |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 4.50$ |  |

* Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.


## CROSSTABULATION:

Members with children (mean=\$71), members under 50 years of age ( $\$ 72$ ), frequent tennis users (\$86), frequent aquatic users (\$76), and members whose monthly statements were typically more than $\$ 1,000(\$ 71)$ indicated a higher monthly dues increase that they were willing to pay compared to members with no children (\$58), members 60 years of age or older (\$54), and members whose monthly statements were typically $\$ 1,000$ or less (\$54). Refer to Crosstabulation Appendix D, Question 91.

## APPENDIX A

## Copy of the Questionnaire

# Sample Country Club Membership Survey 

Please answer each question by selecting the answer that best reflects your opinion. Completing this survey is one way of having a voice in the future of the Club. Each spouse should complete a separate questionnaire.

## IF YOU CANNOT ANSWER A QUESTION, ARE UNFAMILIAR WITH THAT ITEM, HAVE NO OPINION, OR IF THE QUESTION DOES NOT PERTAIN TO YOU OR YOUR MEMBERSHIP STATUS, PLEASE LEAVE THE OUESTION BLANK OR SELECT N/A.

There is a section for your written comments at the end of the survey. Please compile any comments you feel are important and enter them in that section. Moreover, there will also be a section at the end for you to express or clarify any areas you expressed dissatisfaction with.

## MISSION STATEMENT

The Country Club will be one of the top-tiered country clubs in the greater metropolitan area. The Country Club will be a family-oriented country club that will provide outstanding social and recreational services to its members. The Country Club will remain as the premier private country club in the west metropolitan area.

## GENERAL ISSUES

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
(1) The Club's mission statement is appropriate

| Strongly <br> Agree | Somewhat <br> Agree | Somewhat <br> Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(2) Overall, I am pleased with the Club . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
(3) The Club is a good value for what I pay in dues and fees

0
(4) The Club should: (please select only one)

0 Seek to improve, add, and/or enlarge its amenities/services/infrastructure to meet or exceed the members needs, recognizing that this will likely require a dues increase or an assessment

0 Seek to maintain current amenities/services consistent with having modest increases in dues, but limited or no assessments
0 Seek the lowest possible dues structure and no assessments, even if it results in reductions in services and fewer amenities

## IMPORTANCE OF CLUB AREAS AND ACTIVITIES

Please indicate how important the following areas and activities at the Club are for you and your family:

|  |  | Very Important | Important | Unimportant | Very <br> Unimportant | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (5) | Golf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (6) | Fitness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (7) | Tennis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (8) | Aquatics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { Important } \end{aligned}$ | Important | Unimportant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { Unimportant } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (9) | Adult dining/socializing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (10) | Casual family dining/socializing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (11) | Club special events/social functions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (12) | Hosting a private party/meeting/wedding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (13) | Family/children activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## OVERALL SATISFACTION WITH CLUB AREAS AND ACTIVITIES

Please rate your overall level of satisfaction with the different areas and activities of the Club:

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (14) | Golf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (15) | Fitness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (16) | Tennis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (17) | Aquatics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (18) | Adult dining/socializing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (19) | Casual family dining/socializing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (20) | Club special events/social functions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (21) | Hosting a private party/meeting/wedding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (22) | Family/children activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## general club operations

Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of the Club:

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (23) | Overall sense of safety and security | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (24) | Overall staff courtesy/friendliness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (25) | Initiation fee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (26) | Dues and fees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (27) | Communication from the Club | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## GOLF OPERATIONS

Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of the golf operations:

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very <br> Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (28) | Golf staff | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (29) | Golf lessons and clinics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (30) | Golf events, tournaments, \& programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (31) | Junior golf program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (32) | Ability to play golf on the day and time of your preference | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (33) | Speed or pace of play on the golf course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Merchandise in the golf pro shop } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\end{array}\right)$

Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following operational areas of the Club:

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very } \\ & \text { Satisfied } \end{aligned}$ | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (35) | Fitness staff | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (36) | Group exercise class instructors and personal trainers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (37) | Fitness classes and programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (38) | Ability to use fitness equipment on the day and time of your preference | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (39) | Tennis staff | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (40) | Tennis lessons, programs, \& events | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (41) | Junior tennis program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (42) | Ability to play on a tennis court on the day and time of your preference | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (43) | Aquatic lifeguards and staff | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (44) | Aquatics lessons, programs, \& events | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (45) | Swim team | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (46) | Number of individuals using the aquatic facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## SATISFACTION WITH FOOD SERVICE

Please rate your overall level of satisfaction with the food service at the Club:
(47) Quality of the food

| Very <br> Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very <br> Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(52) When you choose to dine somewhere other than the Club (when it's open), what drives your decision to go there? (please select all that apply)

| O Convenience of other restaurants | O Appearance \& size of the dining area | O Price of food and beverage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Prefer variety of other restaurants | O Dress code at the Club | O Inconsistent quality of service |
| O Change of "pace" | O Dining area is not family friendly | O Inconsistent quality of food |
| O Other: |  |  |

## CLUB FACILITIES

Please rate your level of satisfaction with the following facilities and areas:

## GENERAL

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (53) | Overall exterior appearance of the clubhouse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (54) | Amount of available parking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (55) | Overall interior appearance of the clubhouse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (56) | Appearance and size of the men's locker room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (57) | Appearance and size of the women's locker room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## DINING AREAS

| (58) | Appearance and size of the Dining Room |
| :---: | :---: |
| (59) | Appearance and size of the Casual Grill and Lounge |
| (60) | Appearance and size of the Ballroom |
| (61) | Appearance and size of the meeting room(s) |

## GOLF

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (62) | Driving range | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (63) | Short game practice area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (64) | Overall course condition and maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (65) | Greens condition and maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (66) | Tee area condition and maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (67) | Fairway condition and maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (68) | Rough condition and maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (69) | Bunker condition and maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (70) | Golf pro shop and bag room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## FITNESS, TENNIS, AND AQUATICS

|  |  | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | Very Dissatisfied | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (71) | Size and appearance of the fitness center | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (72) | Type and amount of fitness equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (73) | Size and appearance of the fitness/tennis locker room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (74) | Availability/number of the tennis courts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (75) | Size and appearance of the pools, deck and area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (76) | Size and appearance of the pool locker room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## CLUB ISSUES

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:
(77) The Club should have more designated areas for members to use cell phones, iPods, PDAs, computer laptops, and other electronic devices

| Strongly <br> Agree | Somewhat <br> Agree | Somewhat <br> Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## CAPITAL PROJECT PREFERENCES

The Club is considering several potential future capital projects and is trying to gauge member sentiment about priorities. Please rate your preference for the following potential capital projects, facility improvements, and new areas. In answering the following questions, please consider that capital projects have an associated cost and annual operating cost with them that will need to be paid by the Club and its members.

|  |  | Highly Preferred | Preferred | Less Preferred | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Preferred } \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (81) | Provide a new Golf Pro Shop and bag storage area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (82) | Renovate and improve the golf course bunkers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (83) | Renovate and expand the women's locker room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (84) | Renovate the Dining Room and the Bar area to create room for an outside dining area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (85) | Provide an area for older children: (recreation technology room, WiFi, Wii, etc.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## CAPITAL FINANCING PREFERENCES

One aspect of long-range planning for the Club is to determine what the needs and expectations of its membership are. The prior questions addressed those issues. The other aspect in regards to long-range planning is understanding the willingness of the membership to finance capital projects to help expedite them. Completing some projects at the same time versus over an extended time period may result in material cost savings to the Club.

To assist us in this regard, please consider the following points:

* The following finance methods should only be considered as options. The exact costs and other specifics will only be known once a particular project is designed from the information gathered in this survey and other facility analyses.
* PLEASE REMEMBER THAT THE FINANCE METHODS LISTED BELOW ARE ONLY EXAMPLES.

ONE-TIME ASSESSMENT (Example 1)
Each member would pay a one-time assessment. Based on the number of members the Club has, every $\$ 3,000$ assessment per Full member, and prorated over other applicable membership categories, would fund approximately $\$ 2$ million in improvements.

## CAPITAL DUES OR DUES INCREASE (Example 2)

Each member would pay a capital dues or regular dues increase. Funds collected would be used to pay the principal and interest of a long-term loan. Based on the number of members the Club has, every $\$ 35$ monthly increase per Full member, and prorated over other applicable membership categories, would fund approximately $\$ 2$ million in improvements over 10 years.

## THE ABOVE FINANCE METHODS ARE ONLY EXAMPLES AND THE BOARD WILL USE THE INFORMATION COLLECTED FROM THIS SURVEY TO RECOMMEND FINANCING ALTERNATIVES TO THE MEMBERSHIP

(86) A one-time assessment

| Highly Preferred | Preferred | Less Preferred | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Preferred } \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| financing |  | \$ |  |  |

(91) If the membership is in agreement that borrowing the funds should be part of the financing package, please indicate the maximum monthly capital dues or regular dues increase you are willing to pay to finance the borrowed amount
\$

## CLASSIFICATION

(92) Please indicate your membership category:
0 Full
0 Junior
0 Seniors
0 Clubhouse
0 Non-Resident
0 Associate
(93) Person completing the survey 0 Member 0 Spouse
(94) What is your gender?

0 Female 0 Male
(95) What is your age? 0 Under $40 \quad 040$ to $49 \quad 050$ to $59 \quad 060$ to $69 \quad 070$ and over
(96) Approximately how long have you been a Member at the Club?
0 Four years or less
0 5-10 years
0 11-19 years
0 20-29 years
030 or more years
(97) Which areas do you currently use at least four times per month on average during its season? (please select all that apply)
0 Dining Areas
0 Golf
0 Tennis
0 Aquatics
0 Fitness
(98) How many children in your family under the age of 26 live in your home?

0 None 0 One 0 Two 0 Three or more
(99) What are the age(s) of those children (please select all that apply)

0 Under 6 years $06-10$ years $0 \quad 11-15$ years $0 \quad 16-20$ years $0 \quad 21-25$ years
(100) What is your typical monthly statement at the Club including dues?
0 Less than $\$ 500$
0 \$500-\$1,000
0 \$1,001-\$1,500
0 \$1,501-\$2,000
0 Over $\$ 2,000$
(101) I have a vacation or second home

0 Yes 0 No
(102) Suggestions for membership recruitment $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(103) If you expressed dissatisfaction in any previous area of the survey, please elaborate. Moreover, if the survey has not touched on a topic that is important to you, please list any additional comments and suggestions that would enhance your experience at the Club
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(104) Membership number (The Ferreira Company will ensure the privacy of your response and your input will not be shared with the Club, the Board, or its committees. We are seeking your membership number to help better understand the survey response and to afford a follow-up mechanism to remind members to complete the survey.) .

Thank you for your time and opinions. Please return this questionnaire in the enclosed postage-paid envelope or to the Ferreira Company, 220 Creek Run Court, Alpharetta, GA 30005.

## APPENDIX B

## Summary of Responses to Open-Ended Questions

Summary of Frequent Comments: (The number next to the comment in parenthesis indicates the number of similar responses to that type of comment).

## Question 102: Suggestions for membership recruitment. (130 responses from

 1,012 respondents)- Offer social and/or non-golf, membership categories (14)
- Have more golf outings to attract participants as members (9)
- Offer incentives to members to recruit candidates (7)
- Reduce the initiation fee (2)
- Offer partial refund on initiation fee if new members are transferred or move away from the area (2)

Question 103: If you expressed dissatisfaction in any previous area of the survey, please elaborate. Moreover, if the survey has not touched on a topic that is important to you, please list any additional comments and suggestions that would enhance your experience at the Club. (257 responses from 1,012 respondents)

- Bunkers need to be better maintained and improved (48)
- Quality of food at the casual family dining room needs improvement (40)
- Women's locker room needs to be updated and improved (25)
- Food quality needs to be more consistent (19)
- Pool locker rooms need to be better maintained and cleaned (10)



## Sample Country Club

## APPENDIX D

## Questions 1-101

## Crosstabulation Tables:

Full membership category, gender, length of membership, number of dependent children, vacation or second home ownership, age, frequently used Club areas, and average monthly statement
'to make the right decisions you need to ask the right questions and get the right information"


Ferreira Company
Club Consultants

Consultants to private clubs:
helping clubs succeed!!
220 Creek Run Court
Alpharetta GA 30005
404-229-0718
www.ferreiracompany.com

Crosstab Question 1 -- General Issues: The Club's mission statement is appropriate

|  | Overall | Full Member | Gender |  | Length of Membership |  | Number of Children |  | Vacation/ second home |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female | Male | Ten years or less | More than 10 years | None | One or more | Yes | No |
| The Club's mission statement is appropriate <br> Strongly Agree | 72.9\% 723 | 69.1\% 374 | 80.7\% 267 | 68.8\% 444 | 69.4\% 308 | 75.2\% 391 | 72.6\% 345 | 72.7\% 364 | 70.8\% 294 | 73.5\% 400 |
| Somewhat Agree | 25.3\% 251 | 30.9\% 167 | 18.1\% 60 | 29.0\% 187 | 27.5\% 122 | 24.0\% 125 | 26.1\% 124 | 25.0\% 125 | 28.2\% 117 | 23.9\% 130 |
| Somewhat Disagree | 1.8\% 18 | 0.0\% 0 | 1.2\% 4 | 2.2\% 14 | 3.2\% 14 | 0.8\% 4 | 1.3\% 6 | 2.4\% 12 | 1.0\% 4 | 2.6\% 14 |
| Strongly Disagree | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 992 | 100.0\% 541 | 100.0\% 331 | 100.0\% 645 | 100.0\% 444 | 100.0\% 520 | 100.0\% 475 | 100.0\% 501 | 100.0\% 415 | 100.0\% 544 |
| Replies | 992 | 541 | 331 | 645 | 444 | 520 | 475 | 501 | 415 | 544 |
| Forms | 1012 | 547 | 343 | 649 | 452 | 528 | 485 | 507 | 425 | 546 |
| Mean | 3.71 | 3.69 | 3.79 | 3.67 | 3.66 | 3.74 | 3.71 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 3.71 |
| Std Dev | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.51 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.04$ |


|  | Age |  |  | Frequently Used Areas |  |  |  |  | Monthly Statement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 50 | 50 to 59 | 60 and over | Dining Areas | Golf | Tennis | Aquatics | Fitness | \$1,000 or less | More than \$1,000 |
| The Club's mission statement is appropriate <br> Strongly Agree | 74.3\% 272 | 76.1\% 197 | 69.5\% 244 | 74.0\% 442 | 71.7\% 492 | 85.3\% 116 | 78.5\% 186 | 72.6\% 308 | 71.4\% 227 | 74.1\% 468 |
| Somewhat Agree | 22.4\% 82 | 23.9\% 62 | 28.8\% 101 | 25.6\% 153 | 25.9\% 178 | 14.7\% 20 | 20.7\% 49 | 23.6\% 100 | 23.6\% 75 | 25.6\% 162 |
| Somewhat Disagree | 3.3\% 12 | 0.0\% 0 | 1.7\% 6 | 0.3\% 2 | 2.3\% 16 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.8\% 2 | 3.8\% 16 | 5.0\% 16 | 0.3\% 2 |
| Strongly Disagree | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.0\% 0 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 366 | 100.0\% 259 | 100.0\% 351 | 100.0\% 597 | 100.0\% 686 | 100.0\% 136 | 100.0\% 237 | 100.0\% 424 | 100.0\% 318 | 100.0\% 632 |
| Replies | 366 | 259 | 351 | 597 | 686 | 136 | 237 | 424 | 318 | 632 |
| Forms | 368 | 265 | 359 | 613 | 698 | 138 | 239 | 434 | 324 | 636 |
| Mean | 3.71 | 3.76 | 3.68 | 3.74 | 3.69 | 3.85 | 3.78 | 3.69 | 3.66 | 3.74 |
| Std Dev | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.45 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.04$ |

[^12]Crosstab Question 2 -- General Issues: Overall, I am pleased with the Club

|  | Overall | Full Member | Gender |  | Length of Membership |  | Number of Children |  | Vacation/ second home |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female | Male | Ten years or less | More than 10 years | None | One or more | Yes | No |
| Overall, I am pleased with the Club <br> Strongly Agree | 63.1\% 637 | 61.1\% 334 | 65.6\% 225 | 61.9\% 402 | 65.0\% 294 | 61.2\% 323 | 61.2\% 297 | 65.1\% 330 | 59.3\% 252 | 66.3\% 362 |
| Somewhat Agree | 32.8\% 331 | 35.6\% 195 | 31.5\% 108 | 33.1\% 215 | 31.0\% 140 | 34.7\% 183 | 35.1\% 170 | 30.2\% 153 | 37.9\% 161 | 28.6\% 156 |
| Somewhat Disagree | 2.6\% 26 | 2.6\% 14 | 2.9\% 10 | 2.5\% 16 | 1.3\% 6 | 3.4\% 18 | 3.3\% 16 | 2.0\% 10 | 2.4\% 10 | 2.6\% 14 |
| Strongly Disagree | 1.6\% 16 | 0.7\% 4 | 0.0\% 0 | 2.5\% 16 | 2.7\% 12 | 0.8\% 4 | 0.4\% 2 | 2.8\% 14 | 0.5\% 2 | 2.6\% 14 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 1010 | 100.0\% 547 | 100.0\% 343 | 100.0\% 649 | 100.0\% 452 | 100.0\% 528 | 100.0\% 485 | 100.0\% 507 | 100.0\% 425 | 100.0\% 546 |
| Replies | 1010 | 547 | 343 | 649 | 452 | 528 | 485 | 507 | 425 | 546 |
| Forms | 1012 | 547 | 343 | 649 | 452 | 528 | 485 | 507 | 425 | 546 |
| Mean | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.63 | 3.55 | 3.58 | 3.56 | 3.57 | 3.58 | 3.56 | 3.59 |
| Std Dev | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 0.67 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.06$ |


|  | Age |  |  | Frequently Used Areas |  |  |  |  | Monthly Statement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 50 | 50 to 59 | 60 and over | Dining Areas | Golf | Tennis | Aquatics | Fitness | \$1,000 or less | More than \$1,000 |
| Overall, I am pleased with the Club <br> Strongly Agree | 65.8\% $\quad 242$ | 63.8\% 169 | 59.6\% $\quad 214$ | 68.8\% 422 | 66.8\% 466 | 69.6\% 96 | 70.3\% 168 | 65.4\% 284 | 47.8\% 155 | 71.1\% 452 |
| Somewhat Agree | 28.8\% 106 | 32.5\% 86 | $37.0 \% \quad 133$ | 29.2\% 179 | 29.5\% 206 | 27.5\% 38 | 28.9\% 69 | 29.5\% 128 | 44.1\% 143 | 26.4\% 168 |
| Somewhat Disagree | 2.2\% 8 | 2.3\% 6 | 3.3\% 12 | 1.6\% 10 | 1.4\% 10 | 1.4\% 2 | 0.8\% 2 | 1.8\% 8 | 3.7\% 12 | 2.2\% 14 |
| Strongly Disagree | 3.3\% 12 | 1.5\% 4 | 0.0\% 0 | 0.3\% 2 | 2.3\% 16 | 1.4\% 2 | 0.0\% 0 | 3.2\% 14 | 4.3\% 14 | 0.3\% 2 |
| Totals | 100.0\% 368 | 100.0\% 265 | 100.0\% 359 | 100.0\% 613 | 100.0\% 698 | 100.0\% 138 | 100.0\% 239 | 100.0\% 434 | 100.0\% 324 | 100.0\% 636 |
| Replies | 368 | 265 | 359 | 613 | 698 | 138 | 239 | 434 | 324 | 636 |
| Forms | 368 | 265 | 359 | 613 | 698 | 138 | 239 | 434 | 324 | 636 |
| Mean | 3.57 | 3.58 | 3.56 | 3.67 | 3.61 | 3.65 | 3.69 | 3.57 | 3.35 | 3.68 |
| Std Dev | 0.70 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.53 |
| Mean Error* | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.08$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.10$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.08$ | $\pm 0.04$ |

[^13]
[^0]:    * Note: Multiple answers can total over $100 \%$.

[^1]:    * Note: Mean error covers 95\% of distribution.

[^2]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^3]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^4]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^5]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^6]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^7]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^8]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^9]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^10]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^11]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^12]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

[^13]:    * Note: Mean error covers $95 \%$ of distribution.

